18.	6	-1	.1	Low	Ris	k		
Last Full Update Nov 28,	2024	Morr	entum	NEGL	LOW	MED	HIGH	SEVERE
				0-10	10-20	20-30	30-40	40+
	41%	44% 44%	40% 40%		-	- 1		PERCENTILE (1 st = Top Score)
26%		23%		Global	Universe	34	59 /15111	24th
	13% 13%		8%				7 /345	3rd
2% 0% 0% 2% 2%	Medium	High	Severe	Const	ruction		7 /345	3rd
	26% 2% 0% 0% 2% 2% 2%	18.6 Last Full Update Nov 28, 2024	18.0 -1 Last Full Update Nov 28, 2024 Mom	Last Full Update Nov 28, 2024 -1.1 Momentum	Last Full Update Nov 28, 2024 Momentum NEGL 0-10	Low Kiss Last Full Update Nov 28, 2024 Momentum	Low KISK Last Full Update Nov 28, 2024 Momentum Last Full Update Nov 28, 2024 Momentum Low MED 0-10 10-20 20-30 ESG Risk Rating Ranking UNIVERSE (1 st = Global Universe 344 Construction & Engineering Non-Residential Construction	Last Full Update Nov 28, 2024 And

Peers Table

Peers (Market cap \$2.4 - \$2.6bn)	Exposure	Management	ESG Risk Rating
1. Sacyr SA	62.1 High	77.2 Strong	18.6 Low
2. Samsung E&A Co., Ltd.	55.4 High	48.9 Average	30.4 High
3. IRCON International Ltd.	54.2 Medium	31.6 Average	38.3 High
4. Shapir Engineering & Industry Ltd.	54.7 Medium	30.6 Average	39.1 High
5. Argan, Inc.	55.3 High	28.6 Average	40.6 Severe



ESG Risk Analysis


Exposure refers to the extent to which a company is exposed to different material ESG Issues. The exposure score takes into consideration subindustry and company-specific factors such as its business model.



Sacyr develops and constructs infrastructure projects (e.g. hydraulic, maritime, underground) and motorways, railways and airports that require it to bid for public contracts. Involvement in unethical business acts, such as offering bribes and facilitation payments, could lead to investigations, fines and indictments of company executives. Moreover, many of Sacyr's on-site operations, such as excavating, drilling and bulldozing, require a large amount of energy, which could increase its carbon footprint. Failure to adopt energy efficiency measures and renewable energy can lead to fines and reputational damage. In addition, Sacyr's success relies on a diversely skilled workforce, ranging from architects and engineers to construction workers. Failure to attract and retain qualified personnel could lead to skill shortages, delays in fulfilling contractual agreements and loss of customers.

The company's overall exposure is high and is moderately above subindustry average. Human Capital, Carbon -Own Operations and Business Ethics are notable material ESG issues.

Management refers to how well a company is managing its relevant ESG issues. The management score assesses the robustness of a company's ESG programs, practices, and policies.



Sacyr's ESG-related issues are overseen by a board-level sustainability and corporate governance committee, suggesting that the company integrates these factors into its core business strategy. Moreover, Sacyr's ESG reporting follows best practice standards and its ESG data has received a limited external assurance. It has a very strong bribery and corruption policy and programme, as well as a whistleblower channel that is available to third parties and allows for anonymous reporting. The company also reports on initiatives to support women in management through internal development programmes and offers its workforce healthcare benefits and opportunities to develop their skills through educational programmes. Finally, the company has an overall strong environmental policy and management system that is certified to ISO 14001 standards at more than 83% of its sites.

The company's overall management of material ESG issues is strong.



Material ESG Issues

These are the Material ESG Issues driving the ESG Risk Rating.

Issue Name	ESG Risk Exposure Score Category	ESG Risk Management Score Category	ESG Risk Rating Score Category	Contribution to ESG Risk Rating
Business Ethics	6.6 Medium	63.5 Strong	2.6 Low	14.1%
Carbon -Own Operations	6.0 Medium	71.6 Strong	2.6 Low	13.8%
E&S Impact of Products and Services	6.6 Medium	84.0 Strong	2.2 Low	11.7%
Corporate Governance	6.7 Medium	70.5 Strong	2.0 Negligible	10.6%
Human Capital	6.3 Medium	74.4 Strong	1.8 Negligible	10.0%
Water Use -Own Operations	4.6 Medium	79.4 Strong	1.7 Negligible	9.0%
Product Governance	4.4 Medium	63.5 Strong	1.6 Negligible	8.7%
Community Relations	5.3 Medium	82.5 Strong	1.4 Negligible	7.3%
Raw Material Use	2.1 Low	56.9 Strong	1.1 Negligible	6.2%
Occupational Health and Safety	5.3 Medium	88.7 Strong	0.8 Negligible	4.4%
Emissions, Effluents and Waste	4.2 Medium	96.3 Strong	0.6 Negligible	3.0%
Stakeholder Governance	2.0 Low	88.5 Strong	0.2 Negligible	1.2%
Human Rights	2.1 Low	100.0 Strong	0.0 Negligible	0.0%
Overall	62.1 High	77.2 Strong	18.6 Low	100.0%



Non-Residential Construction Spain MCE:SCYR

Events Overview

Identify events that may negatively impact stakeholders, the environment, or the company's operations.

Business Ethics



Events Overview

Identify events that may negatively impact stakeholders, the environment, or the company's operations.

Category (Events)

M None (19)

Accounting and Taxation

Community Relations

Emissions, Effluents and Waste

Energy Use and GHG Emissions

Intellectual Property

Lobbying and Public Policy

Occupational Health and Safety

Sanctions

Social Impact of Products


Water Use

Bribery and Corruption Corporate Governance **Employees - Human Rights Environmental Impact of Products** Labour Relations **Marketing Practices** Quality and Safety Scarce Resource Use Society - Human Rights




Non-Residential Construction Spain MCE:SCYR

Risk Decomposition



Momentum Details



GLOSSARY OF TERMS

Beta (Beta, β)

A factor that assesses the degree to which a company's exposure deviates from its subindustry's exposure on a material ESG issue. It is used to derive a companyspecific issue exposure score for a material ESG issue. It ranges from 0 to 10, with 0 indicating no exposure, 1 indicating the subindustry average, and 10 indicating exposure that is ten times the subindustry average.

ESG Risk Category

Companies' ESG Risk Rating scores are assigned to five ESG risk categories in the ESG Risk Rating:



Negligible risk: enterprise value is considered to have a negligible risk of material financial impacts driven by ESG factors

Low risk: enterprise value is considered to have a low risk of material financial impacts driven by ESG factors

Medium risk: enterprise value is considered to have a medium risk of material financial impacts driven by ESG factors

High risk: enterprise value is considered to have a high risk of material financial impacts driven by ESG factors

Severe risk: enterprise value is considered to have a severe risk of material financial impacts driven by ESG factors

Note that because ESG risks materialize at an unknown time in the future and depend on a variety of unpredictable conditions, no predictions on financial or share price impacts, or on the time horizon of such impacts, are intended or implied by these risk categories.

ESG Risk Rating Score (Unmanaged Risk Score)

The company's final score in the ESG Risk Rating; it applies the concept of risk decomposition to derive the level of unmanaged risk for a company.

Event Category

Sustainalytics categorizes events that have resulted in negative ESG impacts into five event categories: Category 1 (low impact); Category 2 (moderate impact); Category 3 (significant impact); Category 4 (high impact); and Category 5 (severe impact).

Event Indicator

An indicator that provides a signal about a potential failure of management through involvement in controversies.

Excess Exposure

The difference between the company's exposure and its subindustry exposure.

Exposure

A company or subindustry's sensitivity or vulnerability to ESG risks.

Idiosyncratic Issue

An issue that was not deemed material at the subindustry level during the consultation process but becomes a material ESG issue for a company based on the occurrence of a Category 4 or 5 event.

Manageable Risk

Material ESG risk that can be influenced and managed through suitable policies, programmes and initiatives.

Managed Risk

Material ESG Risk that has been managed by a company through suitable policies, programmes and initiatives.

Management

A company's handling of ESG risks.

Management Gap

Refers to the difference between what a company has managed and what a company could possibly manage. It indicates how far the company's performance is from best practice.

Management Indicator

An indicator that provides a signal about a company's management of an ESG issue through policies, programmes or quantitative performance.

Material ESG Issue

A core building block of the ESG Risk Rating. An ESG issue is considered to be material within the rating if it is likely to have a significant effect on the enterprise value of a typical company within a given subindustry.

Subindustry

Subindustries are defined as part of Sustainalytics' own classification system.

Unmanageable Risk

Material ESG Risk inherent from the intrinsic nature of the products or services of a company and/or the nature of a company's business, which cannot be managed by the company if the company continues to offer the same type of products or services and remains in the same line of business.

Unmanaged Risk

Material ESG risk that has not been managed by a company, and includes two types of risk: **unmanageable risk**, as well as risks that could be managed by a company through suitable initiatives, but which may not yet be managed (management gap).



Non-Residential Construction Spain MCE:SCYR

DISCLAIMER

Copyright ©2023 Sustainalytics, a Morningstar company. All rights reserved.

The information, methodologies, data and opinions contained or reflected herein (the "Information") are proprietary to Sustainalytics and/or its third-party content providers, intended for internal, non-commercial use only and may not be copied, distributed or used in any other way, including via citation, unless otherwise explicitly agreed with us in writing. The Information is not directed to, nor intended for distribution to or use by India-based clients and/or users, and the distribution of Information to India resident individuals and entities is not permitted.

The Information is provided for informational purposes only and (1) does not constitute an endorsement of any product, project, investment strategy or consideration of any particular environmental, social or governance related issues as part of any investment strategy; (2) does not constitute investment advice nor recommends any particular investment, nor represents an expert opinion or negative assurance letter; (3) is not part of any offering and does not constitute an offer or indication to buy or sell securities, to select a project nor enter into any kind of business transaction; (4) is not an assessment of the economic performance, financial obligations nor creditworthiness of any entity; (5) is not a substitute for professional advice; (6) has not been submitted to, nor received approval from, any relevant regulatory or governmental authority. Past performance is no guarantee of future results.

The Information is based on information made available by third parties, is subject to continuous change and no warranty is made as to its completeness, accuracy, currency, nor the fitness of the Information for a particular purpose. The Information is provided "as is" and reflects Sustainalytics' opinion solely at the date of its publication.

Neither Sustainalytics nor its third-party content providers accept any liability in connection with the use of the Information or for actions of third parties with respect to the Information, in any manner whatsoever, to the extent permitted by applicable law.

Any reference to third party content providers' names is solely to acknowledge their ownership of information, methodologies, data and opinions contained or reflected within the Information and does not constitute a sponsorship or endorsement of the Information by such third-party content provider. For more information regarding third-party content providers visit http://www.sustainalytics.com/legal-disclaimers.

Sustainalytics may receive compensation for its ratings, opinions and other services, from, among others, issuers, insurers, guarantors and/or underwriters of debt securities, or investors, via different business units. Sustainalytics maintains measures designed to safeguard the objectivity and independence of its opinions. For more information visit **Governance Documents** or contact **compliance@sustainalytics.com**.

